S.B.CRIMINAL MISC. III BAIL APPLICATION NO.5711/2006

Date : 03.01.2007

HON'BLE MR. SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK, J.

Mr. J.S. Choudhary for the applicant.
Mr. V.R. Mehta, Public Prosecutor for State.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and carefully gone through the impugned order.

The contention of learned counsel for the applicant is that in the instant case charge-sheet has been filed and the injuries attributed to the accused applicant are simple in nature.

On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the bail application and submitted that there are 19 cases pending against the accused person, therefore, he should not be granted bail.

I have considered the submissions made before me.

Taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the present case and the fact that the accused

applicant after granting bail commits such type of offences time and again, therefore, I do not feel inclined to extend benefit of bail to the applicant at this stage. However, the applicant shall be at liberty to move regular bail application before the learned trial court after recording of the statements of the injured and the Doctor in this case.

The bail application stands rejected.

(SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK), J.

vij